G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1913.

(Mesrai)

File No. 447

Serial Nos. ~~1 to 4~~ 1, 3 & 4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. ~~1 to 4~~ 1, 3 & 4

Part B for March 1915

SUBJECT.

Restoration of a minor inam land
-to the Sri Kaumaraswamy temple
at Jodi Chowdahalli, Malavalli Taluk

1) Petn of Shanmugam
Re Restn of Inam land.

3) From the Dy Commr Mysore dt 5/
25 & 14
Submits petn with records

4) To the Dy Commr Mysore dt
Rejects (Encl petn & Copy of Govt Order
No 125.

GPB—3,500—21-7-15

Mr. Nicholls file
7/11

G.R.S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mugrai)

NOTES.

File No. 447/13

FILE HEADING.

Restration of a Inam land to Sri Hanumantharaya Swami Temple at Jodi Chandahalli Village, Malvalli Taluk.

From

The Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist

No. 57 Dated 29.7.14 Received

Diary No. 194	Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies.	Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports the circumstances under which he declined to interfere in the matter of the restration of the inam land to the Temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Y

P. Shamiengar, Archak of Sri Hanumantha Raya Swami Temple at Jodi Chandahalli Village, Malvalli Taluk, petd Such praying that dry land Maji No 127 measuring 6 Kolagas and 1/4 ki mana with a Jodi of 1 Kanara and wood trees

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6 12

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 467 of 1913

Serial No.

originally a minor inam in the temple khata which was resumed & given during the Inam settlement owing to the dis-repaired condition of the temple and the discontinuance of service, might be re-granted as inam to the temple. The Dy. Commr, Mysore Distt, to whom the petitions were referred, has submitted a report which explains the facts of the case in detail.

(Paper under disposal)

The minor inam land in question was resumed to Govt during the Inam Settlement, the benefit of which was added to Jodi Chandahalli village. In the year Sadharana, the joddar Mr. Krishnaswami Aiyr made the khata of the land in the name of the Devasthanam and has been receiving jodi and local cess thereon since that year. The above land together with another land has been sold by the joddar to Muddubasavaiah, lessee of the land and another Byrayiah. The joddar complains that one of the vendees clandestinely substituted the inam land instead of his own land; and is willing either to refund the sale amount

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 447 of 1913

Serial No

or to exchange his own land for the main land. The ~~Kan~~ Vendees are unwilling either to receive the amount or to exchange the land. The Archak applied to the Dy. Commr who decided that the case did not seem to call for ~~his~~ interference the Archak has appealed to ~~his~~

Submn. for orders

M 1(15)
17.9.1914

This is a case in which the parties must be heard. Post the case for hearing with the next batch of cases

Ag
25.5

Submit with ~~Appl~~ notices.

M 1157
4.1.1915.

app
Ag
5-1-15

The applt Shanmugam present. Owing to the absence from the Station of the Govt Member, the case is adjd to 9th Feby.

Ag
V8/1/15

Petr heard in

He says that he is now 40

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—5 000 26 6 11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 447 of 1913

Serial No.	
W	years old, that he restored the temple 18 yrs ago; that he has till now been engaged in bringing the Jodidar round, that the latter has given a statement Consenting to the claim being recognised & title deed given; that the land is in the possn of Muddabasavanya as lessee under Jodidar; that he will recover possn by suit in a Civil Court; that the patta has been made out in the name of the deity some 5 yrs ago & that lessee claims title under a sale subsequently.
V	
W	

H

The R.S. will note on these allegations as made out by records.

9/2/15 H

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPS—5,000 -26-6-11

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 447 of 1913

Serial No.

Subd 15 1st member

There is no doubt that this land was constituted the temple Inam and Seime resumed and added on to the Jodidar's estate vide extract from the Inam Register.

Sept 4)

The land was leased out to Muddu Basavi for eight years by the Jodidar's guardian and in the latter assuming management he seems to have transferred the Inam to the temple on 16th Feb 1911 as is evidenced by the entry in the Khatavani and in the Patta.

Sept 2 & 3)

Subsequently there was a sale transaction between the Jodidar and the lessee Muddu Basavi conjointly into Shanbogue Dyavidi and this main land forms one of the 5 nos sold. The Jodidar explains that this land was introduced into the deed fraudulently and that he is willing to substitute another piece of land or return the Consideration

Dyavidi is said the Survey nos are mixed which the Inam Shanbogue after village but there is — & there is the two identical

The copy of the Sale deed is not kept on

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2 11-14

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 447 of 1913

Serial No.

(Sub 1)

the Govt and its terms may perhaps throw some light upon the contested points. The sale is alleged to have taken place on 10th July 1911. The possession after land after Respts is explained after fact that the lease of the land in favour of one of them was still current.

The question for decision between the parties is merely a simple one viz whether or not at the time after sale, the Govt possessed rights of property in the land. In other words whether the transfer after land to the temple and issue of patta constituted a valid gift to the temple.

This is a matter which may be left to the proper Civil Courts, parties in the Civil Court, particularly as the subject matter is not recognised as temple Inam. Further the Judicial himself may move for cancellation after Sale deed on the score of fraud

Ld
19.2.15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000-2 11-14

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Inam File No. 447 of 1913.

Serial No.

Order

At the time of the inam Settlement, the temple was not in good repair and the services ~~were~~ therein had ceased. The Settlement Officer resumed the minor inam on behalf of the Jodidar of the village and added it to the village. Subsequently the Jodidar agreed to restore the inam as the temple was repaired, and transferred it to the name of the temple. As he has agreed to transfer it to the Temple as pár inam, there can be no objection on the part of Govt. to treat it as such.

But the title of the inamdar to make this transfer depends on whether he did it before he ~~sold~~ executed the sale deed in favour of the respondents.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000-2 11-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc File No. 447 of 1913.

Serial No.

The sale deed seems to have been executed on 10 July 1911. The transfer ~~app~~ to the name of the temples appears to have been made in the accounts for Sadharana (1910-11). If ~~this~~ it is satisfactorily made out that the transfer had been made actually before the sale, the vendors can have no title to the field.

The respondents are in possession of the property in dispute, and they cannot be summarily ousted. If the inamdar or the Archak (appellant in this case) succeeds in recovering possession of the property by civil suit or otherwise, an order that the land shall be entered as a pâra inam will be passed on his application. As matters stand at present, Govt will not interfere with the orders already passed by the Dy Co=

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

GV

22/2/15

B vii

23/10

(Please see
attached
letter)

845

23. 12. 13

447-13

1

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 447 of 1913.

Serial No.	
	Submitted with a Dft. under MM(151) 6.3.1915
	8J 6/3 Clean draft Rec 16/3/15
	Clean Dft. submitted. GM. MM(KS) 11.3.1915. 8J Approved & returned Sent 12/3 Rec'd 12/3
	4 Ds, Rec'd Mysore - No: 2096 & 31 3/15 - states that rule 21 of Mysore - Reference with the order already passed in the case & matter has not yet received the sanction of [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000 2 11-11

11

2

3

7

5

8

9

6

7

13

9

10

Petn. No. 2016 of
25. 12. 13

ENTRY No. 1167

1993-1914

DIARY NO. 447-13

I

II

Pet. 2297 of 15
5-2-14

DIARY No. : 1536

19/3/2014
SECTION Judicial
FILE No.
DIARY No.

DIARY No .....

Bz. VII

DIARY NO. 1652

2013 - 14

SEC. IC. Mumbai

FILE NO.

SERIAL NO.

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿ

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ಅನುಭವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ

ಈ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 10/3/914

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಸಹಿ

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರ ಸಹಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಮುದ್ರೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 10/3/914

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಸಹಿ

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರ ಸಹಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಮುದ್ರೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 10/3/914

[illegible] 24.4.14

Received with Secretary's
[illegible] No 3059 d/ 29-4-14
[illegible] 447/13

DIARY No. 1887
10/3 1914
SE[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 447-13
R

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 127 [illegible]
[illegible] 6 – 3/14 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 2-3 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 15-16 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 0-15 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਬਹਾਦਰ
ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ

ਮਿਤੀ 18/4/914

ਸ਼ਰਧਾਲੂ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦਰਬਾਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੇ ਪਰਿਕਰਮਾ ਵਿਚ ਸੰਗਮਰਮਰ ਦੀ ਜੜਤ ਦਾ ਕੰਮ ਹੋ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਬੜੀ ਤਕਲੀਫ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਕੰਮ ਬੰਦ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਹੋਰ ਕੰਮ ਵੀ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਨਲਕੇ ਲਗਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਅਤੇ ਪਖਾਨੇ ਬਣਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਹੋਰ ਕੰਮ ਵੀ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਨਲਕੇ ਲਗਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਅਤੇ ਪਖਾਨੇ ਬਣਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ।

ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦਰਬਾਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੇ ਪਰਿਕਰਮਾ ਵਿਚ ਸੰਗਮਰਮਰ ਦੀ ਜੜਤ ਦਾ ਕੰਮ ਹੋ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਬੜੀ ਤਕਲੀਫ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਕੰਮ ਬੰਦ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਹੋਰ ਕੰਮ ਵੀ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਨਲਕੇ ਲਗਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਅਤੇ ਪਖਾਨੇ ਬਣਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ।

ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦਰਬਾਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੇ ਪਰਿਕਰਮਾ ਵਿਚ ਸੰਗਮਰਮਰ ਦੀ ਜੜਤ ਦਾ ਕੰਮ ਹੋ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਬੜੀ ਤਕਲੀਫ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਕੰਮ ਬੰਦ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਹੋਰ ਕੰਮ ਵੀ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਨਲਕੇ ਲਗਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਅਤੇ ਪਖਾਨੇ ਬਣਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ।

ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦਰਬਾਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੇ ਪਰਿਕਰਮਾ ਵਿਚ ਸੰਗਮਰਮਰ ਦੀ ਜੜਤ ਦਾ ਕੰਮ ਹੋ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਕਰਕੇ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਬੜੀ ਤਕਲੀਫ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਕੰਮ ਬੰਦ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਸੰਗਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਲਈ ਹੋਰ ਕੰਮ ਵੀ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਨਲਕੇ ਲਗਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ ਅਤੇ ਪਖਾਨੇ ਬਣਵਾਏ ਜਾਣ।

2128

19/3-15/14
SECTION Judicial
FILE No.
SERIAL No.

MALTA
121

1914

From The Director
Surveyor Dept

To The Secretary
to Govt
Mysore Branch

Dated 29-7-14

Despatched

Received

General No. 51
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submit record with
report on the petition from
P. Shamiengar archaka of
Sri Hanumanthaswamy Swami
Temple at Jodi Chandahalli
Malavalli Taluk -

194
K-913
Mysore
447-13
3

GPB—50,000—S 1-13

27

Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 29th July 1914

No. 51
C. 1914
From

A. Subrahmanya Iyer Esqr. B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To,

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esqr. B.A, B.L
Offg: Secretary to the Govt of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue depts
Muzrai branch

Sir,

With reference to your C. R. No. 2461 dated 18th March 1914 forwarding for report with records petition from P Shanmugam Archak of the Sri Hanumantha rayaswami temple at Jodi Chandahalli in the Malavally Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records received from the Amildar of Malavalli with his report No. 86 dated 1st April 1914, and to state as follows:— 79 Y

Maji No. 127, dry land measuring 6 Kolagas and 1/4th mana as per Jari account of 1830, with a Jodi 0—14— plus local cess amia as per entry in the patta granted for the year in 1910—11 in the name of the endowed to the temple as Inam

Inam Register of 1805 79 X
is contained in the

file of records herewith enclosed.)

At the time of the Inam settlement, as the temple was not in order and service had discontinued the Inam was resumed and the fule beriz was added to the Jodi village

'Shamiayan's Kyphiyat' dt 16.10.1911) The petitioner seems to have been a minor then and when he came of age, he repaired the temple and continued the puje. On this, the Jodidar in Hariyar Sadharana made the Khata of the land in the name of Sri Anjaneya and has been receiving the Jodi and local cess from the temple agency ever since. The Jodidar had leased the land to one Nudda basavia while the land was in his (Jodidar's) possession. The petitioner alleges that when the Khata was transferred to the Anjaneya devaru, the lessee was ordered to continue to pay the gutta to the temple and to give up the land to the temple on the expiry of the lease. Subsequently, the

2 Jodidar sold to the lessee and another Dyavia two of his lands. He has however written to the Taluk stating that, in writing out the document

ofec of the Vendees Dyavra clandestinely substituted the Inam land instead of the Jodidar's own land - The Jodidar is now willing to refund the sale amount or to exchange his own land for the Inam land. But the vendees are not willing either to receive the amount or to Exchange the land.

Archak Shanmugam then applied to this Office for help - In submitting the file of papers, the Amildar recommended that as the Inam was not sthal but jari, resumed for failure of service, it was a fit case for the consideration of Government. The then Deputy Commissioner in his order No 477 dated 14-5-12 decided that the case did not seem to call for Government interference. Hence the present appeal.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

N. G. Nicholl
Pro. Asst Commr
& Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Absent on Pro. Q'rs

5 27/3

G.R.S. 28]

Diary No. of letter replied to 1944

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 31. 3 1915

Signed by Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao

Subject. I Passing orders on the restoration of dry S.No. 124 of Jodi Chandahalli Malavalli Taluk as minor inam to Sri Hanumantha raya Swami Temple in the village]

File No. MIS 1/13

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaj of Mysore

READ—

Petition registered No. 845 dated 23rd October 1913 from P. Shamiengar, Archak of Sri Hanumantha

~~ORDER THEREON. No.~~

Raya Swami Temple at Jodi Chandahalli village in the Malavalli Taluk praying for the restoration to the Temple of inam

over

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

23

land maji Survey no 127
dry of the deeding extent y
6 Kolagas and 1/4 mana
originally granted to the temple
as inam and subsequently
resumed and added on to the
Jodi village at the time of the
Survey and Settlement
and appealing against the
order of the Deputy Commr
declining to interfere with
the possession of the land
by one Muddu Basavish
and another under a
Sale executed by the Joddar.

Letter no. 51 dated
29th July 1914 from
the Deputy Com-
missioner, Mysore District,
reporting upon the
petition and submitting
the records of the
case —

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

No 2096 Temple
447-13-4
Dy 31. 3. 1915

Dy. Commr., it was decided that the case did not seem to call for Govt. interference. Order thereon — No.

The case was heard by Govt. on 9th Feby 1915, the aplt being present in persn.

At the time of the inam Settlement, the Temple was not in good repair and the services therein had ceased. The settlement officer resumed the minor inam in question on behalf of the Jodidar of the village and added it to the village. Subsequently, the Jodidar agreed to restore the inam as the Temple was repaired and transferred it to the name of the Temple. As he has agreed to transfer it to the Temple as

GPB—12,000—15-2-13.

fact mean, there can be
no objection on the part of
Court to treat it as such.
But the title of the vendor
to make this transfer depends
on whether he did it
before he executed the
sale deed in favor of
the respondents. The sale
deed seems to have been
executed on 10th July 1911.
The transfer in the name of
the Temple appears to have
been made in the accounts
for Sadharana (1910–11).
If it is satisfactorily made
out that the transfer had
been made actually before the
the vendors can
no title to the fields.
respts are in possession
in dispute,
be

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

If the incumbent on the Archak (applt in this case) succeeds in recovering possession of the property by civil suit or otherwise, an order that the land should be entered as a jāri inām will be passed on his application. As matters stand at present, Govt will not interfere with the order already passed by the Dy. Commr.

Put up

Considered

26/3/15

Dy

The Dy. Commr, Bijapur

Who is requested to return the records

83
6/3

GPB—12,000—15-2-13

Restoration of Land, Survey No. 127.

No. 2096—Muz. 447-13-4, dated 31st March 1915.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Read—

Petition Registered No. 845, dated the 23rd October 1913, from P. Shamiengar, Archak of Sri Hanumantharayaswami temple at Jodi Chandahalli village in the Malvalli Taluk, praying for the restoration to the temple of inam land *Maji* survey No. 127, dry, of the seeding extent of 6 kolagas and ¼ Mana originally granted to the temple as inam and subsequently resumed and added on to the jodi village at the time of the Survey and Settlement and appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner declining to interfere with the possession of the land by one Muddu Basaviah and another under a sale executed by the jodidar.

Letter No. 51, dated the 29th July 1914, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting upon the petition and submitting the records of the case.

Order No. 2096—Muz. 447-13-4, dated 31st March 1915.

The case was heard by Government on the 9th February 1915, the appellant being present in person.

At the time of the Inam Settlement, the temple was not in good repair and the services therein have ceased. The Settlement Officer resumed the minor inam in question on behalf of the jodidar of the village and added it to the village. Subsequently, the jodidar agreed to restore the inam as the temple was repaired and transferred it to the name of the temple. As he has agreed to transfer it to the temple as jari inam, there can be no objection on the part of Government to treat it as such. But the title of the inamdar to make this transfer depends on whether he did it before he executed the sale deed in favour of the respondents. The sale deed seems to have been executed on the 10th July 1911. The transfer to the name of the temple appears to have been made in the accounts for Sadharana (1910-11). If it is satisfactorily made out that the transfer had been made actually before the sale, the vendors can have no title to the field.

The respondents are in possession of the property in dispute, and they cannot be summarily ousted. If the inamdar or the Archak (appellant in this case) succeeds in recovering possession of the property by civil suit or otherwise, an order that the land should be entered as a jari inam will be passed on his application. As matters stand at present, Government will not interfere with the order already passed by the Deputy Commissioner.

for Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the records.

Exd.—C. R.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 2096—Muz. 447-13-4, dated 31st March 1915

Restoration of Land, Survey No. 127.

Rejects the petition of P. Shamiengar, archak of the Sri Hanumantharaya-swami temple at Jodi Chandahalli village in the Malvalli Taluk, praying for the —originally granted to the temple as inam.

GPB—9-4-15—600